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INTRODUCTION

- Concept maps are graphical tools for visualizing knowledge structure.
- Key concepts are enclosed in circles or boxes and connecting lines are used to indicate the relationship between concepts.
- There is extensive literature showing that building concept maps can help enhance student learning by improving student knowledge structure.
- Previous studies in biochemistry courses\textsuperscript{1}, large undergraduate classrooms\textsuperscript{2}, and with nursing students\textsuperscript{3} have shown that concept mapping enhances student learning.
- The purpose of this study was to find new activities to incorporate into Biochemistry 501 discussion sections to improve student learning.

TAR Question: Will a concept mapping activity improve student scores in an introductory biochemistry course?

METHODS

Figure 1. The study timeline (A) began with the hormonal control lecture on Day 0 which was followed by the discussion activity the week after spring break. All students took the pre-quiz prior to the discussion activity, five discussion sections completed the normal problem set (Control) and five discussion sections took part in the concept mapping activity (Treatment). Two days after the discussion activity all students completed the post-quiz. An example of the concept map (B) students were asked to construct is shown above.

RESULTS

Figure 2. Students in all discussion sections performed equally on the pre-quiz (A) (N=7-17). The control discussions did not show any instructor bias (B), however two treatment discussion had significantly higher percent gains (C) than one of the other treatment discussions (N=7-17). When grouped as discussions, there is no significant difference in post-quiz scores (D) or percent gain (E) (N=5). When all students are grouped, regardless of discussion section, the treatment group displays a significant increase in percent gain (F) (N=5-2.56). ANOVA or t-tests were used for analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

- There was no observed difference in pre-quiz scores across the discussions, suggesting all students came into the study with similar background knowledge.
- There does appear to be an instructor bias in the treatment groups, demonstrated by two discussions having significantly higher percent gains than one other treatment discussion.
- When students are grouped by discussion average, concept mapping appears to increase the percent of possible gain, however it is not statistically significant.
- When students are grouped by control or treatment, regardless of discussion section, the effect of concept mapping on percent of possible gain is highly significant.
- Further studies will be needed to determine if the concept mapping activity is responsible for the increased gains or if the two exceptional treatment discussion sections skewed the results.
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